

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 26107 of 2016

Date of decision: 16.12.2016

Rajesh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. NK Malhotra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

There appears to be no urgency on the judicial side. The petitioner is at liberty to press his representation before the authorities claiming transfer on the basis of a medical problem, the father suffers from. The petitioner has sent a reminder on November 15, 2016.

Be that as it may, this petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority to take up the representation and the reminder application and consider the case of the petitioner from the point of view of chronic ailment that the petitioner's father is said to suffer from and which forms the basis of the request. While intervening in the transfer order dated April 1, 2016, it is directed that the competent authority would pass appropriate orders within 2 weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order either from this Court or from the petitioner, whichever is earlier.

Order dasti.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

16.12.2016

monika

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No