

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

111

CWP-26083-2016

DATE OF DECISION:16.12.2016

SONU

... Petitioner

V.

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the respondents not to replace him with another contractual employee.

It is stated in the petition that the petitioner was appointed as a driver by the respondents against a sanctioned post on 27.03.2015 and he has continued as such till date. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been orally informed by respondent No.4 that he is going to be replaced by another contractual employee.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to respondents not to replace the petitioner by another contractual employee. However, it shall be open to the respondents to replace the petitioner by regular selected candidate or in case

the work and conduct of the petitioner is found to be unsatisfactory or there is no requirement of such work with the respondents.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

December 16, 2016

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Note:

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No