

Sr. No. 251

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 27038 of 2015
Date of decision:5.08.2016**

Sita Ram Contractor

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Suresh Singla, Addl.AG, Punjab.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(Oral)

State counsel has submitted that this petition has become
infructuous because the payment has been made.

Dismissed as infructuous.

However, in case the statement made by the State counsel is
found to be incorrect, counsel for the petitioner may file an application for
revival of the petition.

5th August, 2016

Shivani Kaushik

**[Rakesh Kumar Jain]
Judge**

Whether Speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No