

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.26059 of 2016.

Date of Decision: December 16, 2016

Srishtjit Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.

Present: Mr.D.V.Sharma, Senior Advocate with
Ms.Akshita Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has no locus-standi at this stage to question the advertisement and that too with half-baked information. Petitioner ought to have brought the complete details re: as to how many reserved vacancies are carried forward and how many reserved vacancies were earlier de-reserved or utilized by the open category candidates. Thereafter only, the question of reservation in excess of 50% cap can be considered. No such information is on record.

The writ petition is dismissed being pre-mature at this stage.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

December 16, 2016

mohinder

[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

:

Yes/No