

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.26032 of 2016.

Date of Decision: December 16, 2016

Raja Ram

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.

Present: Mr.M.S.Randhawa, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has admittedly received the compensation amount for the acquisition of his land made vide Award dated 27.04.1998. There is nothing on record to suggest that physical possession of the land is with him. The only plea taken is that the land is still lying unutilized, therefore, acquisition may be declared to have lapsed under Section 24(2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013. The claim is legally misconceived and does not fall within the ambit of cited provisions of 2013 Act.

If the land is lying unutilized or is of no use, the petitioner may represent the authorities for its release in accordance with law/Government Policy.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

December 16, 2016

mohinder

[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

:

Yes/No