

115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.25963 of 2016
Date of Decision: 15.12.2016

Chinti Devi Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Dharamveer Phour, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regularization of his services in terms of policy dated 01.10.2013 from the date of his juniors have been regularized. It is the case of the petitioner that earlier his services had been terminated and in terms of the award of the Labour Court, he was directed to be reinstated with continuity in service and hence he has to be treated as continuing in service with the respondents ever since his date of original appointment. Learned counsel further contended that the case of the petitioner would be covered by the judgments of this Court in the cases of “*Khajjan Singh and others Vs. State of Haryana and others*”, 2015(2)RSJ 135 and CWP No.11224 of 2015 titled as “*Lekhu Raj Vs. State of Haryana and others*” decided on 19.10.2015 (Annexure P-7).

Learned counsel has also drawn my attention to the representation of the petitioner dated 23.08.2016 (Annexure P-8) sent to the respondents in this regard, which has failed to evoke any response.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG,
Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner dated 23.08.2016 (Annexure P-8) in the light of the aforementioned judgments, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

December 15, 2016

rittu

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No