

Date of Decision: 15.12.2016

..... Petitioner(s)

Versus

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has sought quashing of the adverse remarks in his ACR recorded in the year 2011 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that he had earlier preferred a petition bearing CWP No.22572 of 2015 (Annexure P-13) which was disposed of on 20.10.2015 with liberty to the petitioner to pursue his remedy with the respondents. Learned counsel has drawn my attention to the representation sent to the respondents in this regard on 22.12.2015 (Annexure P-14) which has failed to evoke any response so far.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG,
Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

In these facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner dated 22.12.2015 (Annexure P-14), in accordance with law, by passing a

speaking order within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

December 15, 2016
rittu

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No