

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.25927 of 2016
Date of Decision: 15.12.2016**

Shashi Kumari and others

..... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Kamal Satija, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL J. (ORAL)

The petitioners, who are working as J.B.T. Teachers under the Haryana State Education Department, have raised a claim for grant of step up/higher pay so as to be treated at par with their junior counterparts, who were otherwise appointed on or after 1.1.2006.

It is the contention raised by learned counsel for the petitioners that the claim of the petitioners would be covered in the light of judgment dated 15.5.2013 (Annexure P-6), passed by this Court in **CWP No. 11254 of 2010** titled as **Neelam Rani vs. State of Haryana and others**. SLP preferred against the judgment of “**Neelam Rani vs. State of Haryana and others**” has been dismissed vide order dated 17.10.2016.

Without making any observations on merits and without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the present petition, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the same with liberty to the petitioners to file a comprehensive representation with regard to their claim and grievance. In the eventuality of any such representation being preferred,

respondent No. 2 would be obligated to consider the same strictly in accordance with law and to pass a well reasoned speaking order thereupon, within a period of three months from the date of submission of the representation.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

December 15, 2016

rittu

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No