

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-9630-2012 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.07.2016**

Dr.B.S.Sharma

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.K.L. Dhingra, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Gagandeep Singh Wasu,
Additional Advocate General, Haryana

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

It comes out that there is a dispute regarding payment of CPF amount. Some amount has been paid in pursuance to the previous writ filed by the petitioner. However, regarding some of the amount, dispute still exists. Petitioner basis his claim on Annexure P2. The respondent Nos.1 and 2 in the affidavit have taken the stand that Annexure P2 does not carry correct figure and that now nothing is due.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has conceded that there is disputed questions of facts which require leading of evidence. It is for the petitioner to prove as to what are the correct figures.

In these circumstances, the matter cannot be decided in exercise of writ jurisdiction. Therefore, the petitioner is relegated to avail the remedy of filing a civil suit before the Civil Court to prove his claim regarding balance CPF amount by leading appropriate evidence.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

25.07.2016
gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/ No
Yes/No