

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 25796 of 2016 (O/M)

Date of decision : 14.12.2016

Udham Singh

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. B.D. Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that despite being exonerated in the departmental inquiry and in the criminal case, the pay of the petitioner is not being re-fixed. His pension is not being re-fixed and pensionary benefits are not being released. He has already served a legal notice dated 16.7.2013 (Annexure-P-5) upon respondents, but to no avail. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that he will be satisfied if the respondent No. 3 is directed to decide the said legal notice dated 16.7.2013 (Annexure-P-5) in accordance with rules.

In the circumstances, without issuing notice to the opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3 to decide the legal notice dated 16.7.2013 (Annexure-P-5) within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

14.12.2016

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No