

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.25778 of 2016
Date of Decision:-13.12.2016.

Changu Ram and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Namit Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

- 1.) Notice of motion.
- 2.) Mr. Anil Mehta, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to furnish two sets of the paper book to learned State counsel during the course of day.
- 3.) In the instant writ petition, the petitioners have sought for a writ of *mandamus* to the respondents to revise their wages with reference to orders Annexures P-2 to P-5. In this regard, petitioners are stated to have submitted representation on 29.12.2014 vide Annexure P-10. The respondents have not considered the representation till date.
- 4.) Perusal of the representation submitted by the petitioners dated 29.12.2014, it is seen that it has been addressed to the Hon'ble Chief Minister, Government of Haryana. The grievance of the petitioners is before the Competent Authority who is Deputy Commissioner of Police,

Panchkula. In the absence of making necessary representation to the Competent Authority, the petitioners do not have the right to seek a mandamus. Therefore, petition stands dismissed.

5.) Dismissal of this CWP may not come in the way of redressal of the petitioners' grievance. Thus, reserving liberty to the petitioners to approach the Competent Authority with reference to their grievances. If such representation is made, the same shall be considered by the Competent Authority, in accordance with law.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

December 13, 2016.

sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.