

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 4038 of 2013 (O/M)

Date of decision : 8.8.2016

Satinder Kaur Batth

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

Central Board of Secondary Education and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Manjeet Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Anil Kshetarpal, Senior Advocate, with,
Mr. Rohit Nagpal, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 to 4.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

It comes out that the petitioner was working in an un-aided school. During the pendency of the present writ petition, according to the petitioner, some part of the gratuity has been released. The petitioner claims that more payment is due on account of gratuity.

Since it is an un-aided school, no writ of mandamus can be issued by this Court. The petitioner is relegated to approach the Controlling Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972, to claim appropriate relief. If the petitioner approaches the said authority, it is expected that the matter will be decided expeditiously, keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is a retired employee.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

8.8.2016

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No