

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 25709 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 13.12.2016

C.B. Mittal

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Harish Nain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner retired from the post of JE/F on 31.1.2008. An amount of Rs. 55,869/- from the retiral benefits has been withheld without any cogent reason. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that he will be satisfied if the respondents are directed to decide the legal notice dated 1.4.2016 (Annexure-P-2) within a given time frame, which is based on the judgment, passed by a coordinate bench of this Court in CWP-1318-2009, titled as Ram Phal Versus Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others, decided on 8.1.2010 (Annexure-P-3) and another judgment, passed by a Division Bench of this Court in CWP-12036-2008, titled as Suraj Mal Versus Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others, decided on 11.9.2008 (Annexure-P-4).

In view of the matter, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 4 to decide the legal notice dated

CWP No. 25709 of 2016 (O/M)

1.4.2016 (Annexure-P-2) by passing a speaking order within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order after considering the case laws on the point as may be produced by the petitioner. Needless to say that a follow up action will be taken up if the legal notice is positively decided in favour of the petitioner.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

13.12.2016
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No