

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-25692-2016

Date of decision: 13.12.2016

Suresh Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

PRESENT: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia* seeking a writ of Certiorari for quashing the Notice Inviting Tender No. 21/2016 (Annexure P-12) in view of the fact that there was earlier agreement between the petitioner and the respondents for the same work. Further a writ of Mandamus has been sought directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to continue with the revised construction work in pursuance to the earlier agreement for which revised approval has been granted.

2. After arguing for some time, when the Bench was not agreeing with the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw the instant petition,

with liberty to the petitioner to take recourse to the alternative remedy, as may be available to him, in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies, as may be available to him, in accordance with law.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

December 13, 2016
rishu

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No