

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.25635 of 2016
Date of decision: 12.12.2016**

Sham Lal

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Dr. Surya Parkash, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is that his case was recommended for promotion subject to approval of Department of Finance whereas the case of Engineer Pardeep Garg as Process Engineer was considered without having any approval of Finance Department.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner has not been considered only on the ground that financial implication of ₹2000/- per month is involved whereas no such approval was obtained in case of other persons while considering their case for promotion. The petitioner has also made representation to respondent No.1 on 30.05.2016 but no order has been passed so far. The petitioner would be satisfied in case directions are issued by this Court to respondent No.1 to consider representation dated 30.05.2016 (Annexure P-3).

Keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner, the present

writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider the representation dated 30.05.2016 (Annexure P-3) within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, the petitioner is found entitled for the relief sought, the same be granted to him within a period of two months thereafter and in case, the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

Disposed of accordingly.

12.12.2016
neetu

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	√ Yes/No
Whether Reportable	√ Yes/No