

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****Date of decision: 26.05.2016****CWP No.26585 of 2015 (O&M)**

Vijay Kumar Sharma

...Petitioner

Vs.

Punjabi University through its Registrar, Patiala & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Vir Singh, Advocate, for the respondents.

1. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
2. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

A direction was issued on 21.12.2015 to the second respondent to look into the matter and ensure expeditious release of amounts due to the petitioner. The office of Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Senior Advocate, has been informed that the payments have been made to the petitioner, the details of which have been mentioned in the letter dated 18.02.2016. Since there is nothing in the letter, which appears to be privileged communication between client and University, the same is taken on record.

No one appears for the petitioner. It seems that he no longer is interested in claiming interest.

Consequently, the present writ petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

In case, the petitioner has any remaining grievance, then he may apply to this Court in the disposed of matter.

26.05.2016
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE