

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 203

CWP-3876-2013

Decided on : 08.04.2016

Suresh Kumar

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

To be referred to Reporters or not?

Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Present: Mr.Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms.Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition, the petitioner after seeking quashing of appointment of respondent No.7, seeks issuance of a direction to the respondents to appoint him in his place as Care Taker/NCC Officer.

Since learned counsel for the parties agree that respondent No.7 has resigned from the post in question as also on account of the fact that the petitioner has crossed the maximum age limit so prescribed for appointment on the post in question, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

08.04.2016

shamsher

**[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE**