

222

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-26549-2015
Date of decision : 29.11.2016**

Veena Rani

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. K.L. Dhingra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner states that as the impugned order dated 24.11.2015 (Annexure P-I) has been cancelled by the respondents, this petition would not require adjudication.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the petition has been rendered infructuous and stands disposed of accordingly.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

November 29, 2016

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

Yes / No