

**103 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CWP No.25591 of 2016 (O&M)****Date of decision : December 12, 2016****Kulwinder Singh****..... Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****..... Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH****Present:-** Mr. Joginder Pal Devgan, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner, who retired as Conductor from Punjab Roadways Department on 30.04.2010, has challenged the orders of stoppage of increments temporarily and permanently and he was brought to the basic scale, the details of which are as under:

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Order No.</i>	<i>Dated</i>	<i>Numbers of Increments Stopped</i>
1)	2520-23	23/05/84	1
2)	4266-69	13/09/84	1
3)	5856/T.A.	13/11/84	1
4)	889-90/G.M.	20/03/90	3
5)	11332-34	21/11/94	1
6)	2480-82	11/07/02	1
Total :-			8

Order of stoppage of increments permanently:-

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Order No.</i>	<i>Dated</i>	<i>Numbers of Increments Stopped</i>
1)	4469	07/05/75	1
2)	26	14/01/76	3

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Order No.</i>	<i>Dated</i>	<i>Numbers of Increments Stopped</i>
3)	827	12/02/76	5
4)	---	15/07/76	3
5)	9571-73	10/12/76	1
6)	7632-34	12/09/77	2
7)	7650-52	12/09/77	1
8)	9314-18	18/06/79	2
9)	1691-96	12/02/80	5
10)	556-61	24/04/82	2
			25

Order regarding to bringing at Basic Scale:-

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Order No.</i>	<i>Dated</i>	<i>Numbers of Increments Stopped</i>
1)	337	10/11/76	For 5 years
2)	6860-66	16/10/87	For 5 years
3)	782-84	05/03/90	For 2 years

It goes to show that the said orders were passed between the years from 1975 to 2002. Since, these orders affect the salary of the petitioner, therefore, he is intimated about the same. The order of stoppage of increments permanently is passed after a regular inquiry. Now, after so many years, the orders cannot be challenged by way of writ petition.

The present petition is badly barred by delay and latches. As such, the same is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

December 12, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No