

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.25590 of 2016
Date of decision: 16.12.2016

Surjit Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court, by way of present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, fairly states that although the petitioner is seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus, yet he did not approach the competent authority by moving an appropriate representation or legal notice, before filing the present writ petition. He further submits that given an opportunity, petitioner shall approach respondents No.2 and 3 by moving an appropriate representation or legal notice within a period of two weeks from today and they may be directed to consider and decide the same, keeping in view the inquiry report (Annexure P-8), within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without

expressing any opinion on merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to Additional Chief Secretary to Government, Haryana, Department of Revenue and Disaster Management-respondent No.2 as well as Deputy Commissioner, Ambala-respondent No.3 that if the petitioner approaches them by moving an appropriate representation or legal notice within a period of two weeks from today, they shall consider and decide the same at an early date, keeping in view the inquiry report (Annexure P-8) by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of representation or legal notice from the petitioner.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present writ petition stands disposed of.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

16.12.2016
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No