

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 26514 of 2015
Date of Decision:- 03.03.2016**

Teena Yadav

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Keshav Gupta, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned State counsel submits that since the impugned order under challenge has since been withdrawn by the respondent authorities, so, the present writ petition has become infructuous.

In view of above fact, the present writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

March 01, 2016
naresh.k

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE