

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

CWP No.25526 of 2016

Date of Decision: 09.12.2016

Dhani Ram

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. G.S. Nahel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner, in the present petition, is that there are total nine posts of Block Primary Education Officers, out of which, three posts have already been filled up i.e one from General category, one from Scheduled Caste (RO) category and one from Scheduled Caste category, whereas, there is 25% quota for direct recruitment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the appointment of Scheduled Caste candidate has been made contrary to rule/law. Petitioner has made a representation dated 24.09.2016 (Annexure P-3) but the same has not been decided so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, directions are issued by this Court to decide the pending representation dated 24.09.2016 (Annexure - P-3) within some stipulated period as he is going to retire from service on 31.01.2017.

Keeping in view the limited prayer and without commenting

anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to consider the pending representation (Annexure P-3) and pass necessary order in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

09.12.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No