

CWP No. 25515 of 2016

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 25515 of 2016
Date of Decision : 09.12.2016**

Anil Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioners are stated to be working as Extension Lecturers in Govt. College Gohana, District Sonapat. The details of the period of their service as Extension Lecturers and qualifications are set out in para No. 5 of the petition.

It is the case of the petitioners that they deserve to continue as Extension Lecturers till regular appointments are made by the respondents and they should not be relieved during summer/winter/examination period. The petitioners have sought further direction that they be granted ₹1000/- per period subject to a maximum of ₹25,000/- per month from the date of their initial appointment.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the cases of the petitioners are squarely covered by the judgment of a co-ordinate Bench

of this Court in the case of ***Ms. Menka and others vs. State of Haryana and others, CWP No. 9300 of 2015***, decided on 05.05.2016.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Rathee, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioners has furnished four sets of the paper book to learned State counsel.

Learned State counsel contends that a coordinate Bench of this Court has issued directions pertaining to similarly situated persons while deciding ***CWP No. 16975 of 2014***, in the case of ***Mrs. Rita Tandon Vs. State of Haryana and others***, decided on 29.07.2016 while relying upon the judgment in the case of ***Ms. Menka and others Vs. State of Haryana and others(supra)***.

At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners would be satisfied if the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of both the petitioners in the light of the judgments in the case of ***Ms. Menka and others Vs. State of Haryana and others (supra)*** and ***Mrs. Rita Tandon Vs. State of Haryana and other (supra)*** in a time bound manner.

Therefore, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to treat the writ petition as a representation of the petitioners and consider and decide the same by passing a speaking order, keeping in view the judgments in the case of ***Ms. Menka and others Vs. State of Haryana and others (supra)*** and ***Mrs. Rita Tandon Vs. State of***

Haryana and other (supra), within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

December 09, 2016.
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No