

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 25511 of 2016

Date of decision: 19.12.2016

Manoj Kumar & ors.

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & anr.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

The Coordinate Bench of this Court has passed the following order on 4.11.2016 in a similar matter bearing No.22771 of 2016 (Bijender Kumar & ors. v. State of Haryana & ors.):-

“The petitioners, who are working as J.B.T. Teachers under the Haryana State Education Department, have raised a claim for grant of step up/higher pay so as to be treated at par with their junior counterparts, who were otherwise appointed on or after 01.01.2006.

It is the contention raised by learned counsel for the petitioners that the claim of the petitioners would be covered in the light of judgment dated 15.05.2013 (Annexure P-5), passed by this Court in ***CWP No. 11254 of 2010*** titled as ***Neelam Rani v. State of Haryana and others.***

Without making any observations on merits and without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the present petition, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the same with liberty to the petitioners to file a comprehensive

representation with regard to their claim and grievance. In the eventuality of any such representation being preferred, respondent No. 2 would be obligated to consider the same strictly in accordance with law and to pass a well reasoned speaking order thereupon, within a period of three months from the date of submission of the representation.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.”

Consequently, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of *Bijender Kumar's case*.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

19.12.2016
monika

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>