

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

***C.W.P No. 26420 of 2015
Date of Decision: 28.07.2016***

Kulmeet Singh & Anr.

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK.

Present:- Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. B.M. Vinayak, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate, for respondent No.6.

Mr. S.P.Soi, Advocate, for respondent No.42.

Mr. A.S.Khinda, Advocate,
for respondent Nos. 7, 10 to 13, 15, 18, 19, 21, 22, 25, 27, 29 to
31, 33, 34, 35, 37, 38, 39, 41, 43, 44, 46 to 52 and 24 to 62

-:-

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK .J.

Learned State counsel at the very outset fairly states that since Nagar Panchayat Lohian Khas, Jalandhar, has already filed number of writ petitions including C.W.P No. 20856 of 2015, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

Correctness of the statement made by learned State counsel could not be denied.

In view of the above, present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE**

July 28, 2016
tripti

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No