

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 25429 of 2016
Date of Decision: 8.12.2016**

Inderjeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Regional Passport Authority, Sector 34 Chandigarh

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Arshdeep Singh Sivia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court, by way of instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the genuine grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed by the respondent authorities, he finally approached the respondent by way of self contained representation dated 9.10.2015 (Annexure P-4), but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case the Regional Passport Authority, Sector 34 Chandigarh-respondent is directed to consider and decide his representation, within a reasonable time.

Civil Writ Petition No. 25429 of 2016

2

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, Regional Passport Authority, Sector 34 Chandigarh-respondent is directed to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner, raised vide his representation dated 9.10.2015 (Annexure P-4) and decide the same, at an early date by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present petition stands disposed of.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

8.12.2016
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No