

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3641 of 2013

Date of Decision: 21.07.2016

Amar Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Ram Niwas Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

The claim in this petition is for leave encashment. The petitioners work in a privately managed aided school at Yamuna Nagar.

Mr. Rathee points out to the orders of the Division Bench of this Court rendered in LPA No.908 of 2012 decided on January 30, 2013 (State of Haryana and others v. Ram Kumar Shastri and others).

In view of the decision, State is not liable to pay leave encashment and the petitioners would remain free to claim the same from their employer/private institution in case, their terms of employment and the rules permit.

The petition stands dismissed qua the State but disposed of with respect to the private respondents.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

21.07.2016
manju