

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

234

CWP-26316-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.05.2016

Malkit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab National Bank and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. K.S.Boparai, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, Advocate for the respondents.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents has filed reply on behalf of respondents. Same is taken on record.

In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has questioned the order dated 05.12.2014 and show cause notice dated 30.10.2015 passed by respondent No.2. There is no interim order. Thus, enquiry was proceeded and the petitioner was punished. Feeling aggrieved by the penalty order, the petitioner has already availed the remedy of appeal before the appellate authority and it is pending consideration. The petitioner is at liberty to raise the contentions before the appellate authority in so far as challenge to order dated 05.12.2014 and show cause notice dated 30.10.2015 is concerned.

CWP-26316-2015

-2-

The same shall be considered by the appellate authority while deciding the appeal. Disposal of this petition, will not come in the way of petitioner to make additional statement of facts and grounds, if any, before the appellate authority within a period of 2 weeks' from today.

Writ petition stands disposed of.

03.05.2016

pooja saini

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**