

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.25288 of 2016
Date of decision: 07.12.2016**

Munshi Ram and others

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Subhash Ahuja, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the controversy in the present case is squarely covered by the judgments rendered by this Court in *Neelam Rani d/o Raj Roop vs. The State of Haryana and others, CWP No.11254 of 2010* decided on **15.05.2013 (Annexure P-9)**, *Mukesh Kumar Bhatnagar and others vs. State of Haryana and others, CWP No.4739 of 2011* decided on **11.07.2016 (Annexure P-10)** and *Anil and others vs. State of Haryana and another, CWP No.5376 of 2011* decided on **01.02.2016 (Annexure P-11)**. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioners have served a legal notice (Annexure P-12) upon the respondents on 25.08.2014 but no action has been taken so far. The petitioners would be satisfied in case, directions are issued by this Court to consider legal notice dated 25.08.2014 (Annexure P-12).

Keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioners, the

present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider legal notice dated 25.08.2014 (Annexure P-12) and take necessary action in accordance with law as well as the judgments as mentioned above, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Disposed of accordingly.

07.12.2016
neetu

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	✓ Yes/No
Whether Reportable	✓ Yes/No