

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh.

**CWP- 25253 of 2016
Decided on 07.12.2016**

Channo Devi

--Petitioner

Vs.

Mohan Lal and another

--Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr. D.K.Bhatti, Advocate, for the petitioner

Rakesh Kumar Jain,J: (Oral)

This petition is filed for seeking a direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to take appropriate action against respondent No.1 who has allegedly re-occupied the tenanted premises after having lost in a petition under Section 13 (B) of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restrict Act,1949.

Admittedly, the petitioner has not made any complaint or registered any FIR to the police. In the absence of any proceedings having been initiated by the petitioner to set the law into motion insofar as the criminal law is concerned, no relief can be granted to her in the present petition which is totally misconceived.

Dismissed.

**07.12.2016
rr**

**(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:

Yes/No.

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No