

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.25227 of 2016
Date of decision: 06.12.2016**

Sanjay Gupta

... Petitioner

Vs.

Office of the Banking Ombudsman and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Randeep Singh Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court, by way of present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the genuine grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed, he finally approached the respondent authorities by way of legal notice dated 29.09.2016 (Annexure P-3) but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioner within a reasonable time by passing an appropriate order thereon.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, Deputy General Manager, State Bank of Patiala, Zonal Office, 2nd floor NBCC Place, Pragati Vihar, Lodhi

Road, New Delhi-respondent No.2 is directed to look into the matter, consider the grievance raised by the petitioner vide legal notice dated 29.09.2016 (Annexure P-3) and decide the same at an early date by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, instant writ petition stands disposed of.

06.12.2016
vishnu

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No