

118

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-25097-2016
Date of decision : 05.12.2016**

Rajesh Kumar

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.(Oral)

The petitioner has sought a direction to the respondents to release the enhanced subsistence allowance in terms of Rule 7.2 of Punjab Civil Services Rules, Volume-I.

It is the case of the petitioner that while working as Senior Assistant his services were placed under suspension w.e.f. 27.06.2015 in terms of Rule 7.2 of Punjab Civil Services Rules, Volume-I. The subsistence allowance is to be increased by 50% on expiry of six months, where the delay in completion of inquiry is not attributable to the Government employee.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner deserves to be granted the enhanced subsistence allowance after 27.12.2015 upon completion of six months of suspension.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has also drawn my attention to the legal notice sent to respondents on 05.09.2016 (Annexure P-8) in this regard, which has failed to evoke any response.

Without making any observations in merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioner, in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

December 05, 2016

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No