

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No. 25089 of 2016
Date of Decision: 5.12.2016

Vijay pal

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Hakam Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court, by way of instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus.

Petitioner is challenging the result of election of respondent No.6 by way of present writ petition. Admittedly, this could have been a ground for challenging the result of election of respondent No.6 by way of an election petition, but the petitioner did not file any election petition, for the reasons best known to him. Limitation for filing the election petition has also expired long back. After sleeping over his right, if any, for a long period, petitioner has now woken up from slumber and moved

Civil Writ Petition No. 25089 of 2016

representation dated 9.9.2016 (Annexure P-5) before the Deputy Commissioner, Sirsa-respondent No.3. When no action was taken by the Deputy Commissioner, Sirsa-respondent No.3 and rightly so, it being wholly misconceived representation, petitioner has approached this Court.

In view of what has been observed hereinabove, present writ petition has been found wholly misconceived, bereft of merit and without any substance, thus, it must fail. Result of election cannot be permitted to be challenged on an added ground beyond the scope of statutory provisions and in doing so, this Court would be exceeding its writ jurisdiction under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India. No case for interference has been made out.

Dismissed.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

5.12.2016
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No