

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.26032 of 2015
Date of decision: 16.03.2016

Pawan Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr. Sagar Deswal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rahul Dev Singh, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate for respondents No.2
to 4-HUDA.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

S.J. VAZIFDAR, A.C.J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent-HUDA states that the order dated 26.10.2010 has been complied with. This is the relief that has been claimed in the petition. A copy of the order is tendered in court and marked as 'X'.

The petitioner's grievance stands redressed. It is open to the petitioner to challenge the order, if he so desire.

The petition is disposed of.

**(S.J. Vazifdar)
Acting Chief Justice**

**(Arun Palli)
Judge**

March 16, 2016
Rajan