

CWP-25994-2015

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25994-2015 (O & M)

Date of Decision: 13.05.2016

Dilbag Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH
DHALIWAL**

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S.Chandumajra, Addl. A. G. Punjab.

Mr. R.S.Chauhan, Advocate,
for respondent No.7.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J. (Oral)

CM-5639-CWP-2016

Allowed, as prayed for. Written statement along with annexures filed on behalf of respondent No.7 is taken on record.

CWP-25994-2015

Instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.6 to initiate proceedings against respondent No.7 under Section 216 of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act for

CWP-25994-2015

the recovery of financial loss caused to the Gram Panchayat, amounting to ₹8,59,006/-, as per the order dated 28.10.2015 (Annexure P-8) passed by respondent No.2.

In pursuance of notice of motion, respondent Nos.1 to 6 and respondent No.7 filed their separate replies. It is categorically mentioned in the reply of respondent Nos.1 to 6 that action has already been initiated against the petitioner in accordance with law.

In view of above, instant petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

It goes without saying that if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order ultimately to be passed by the authority concerned, he can challenge the same in accordance with law.

13.05.2016

parveen kumar

(Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal)
Judge