

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.25034 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : December 05, 2016**

Mast Ram

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rajeev Dev Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner is that despite his retirement on 30.06.2016, his retiral benefits are not being paid to him. No charge-sheet or inquiry is pending against him. He has already served representation dated 26.09.2016 (Annexure P-2).

In these circumstances, the respondent-Corporation is directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 26.09.2016 (Annexure P-2) and decide the same. If there is no legal impediment in releasing the pensionary benefits, release the same forthwith otherwise pass a speaking order, denying the pensionary benefits. The needful be done within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The respondents shall also consider the claim of the petitioner for grant of interest on the delayed payment, as per Government instructions.

As such, the present petition is disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

December 05, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No