

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

108

Civil Writ Petition No.25008 of 2016
Date of Decision: December 03, 2016

Ranjit Singh

....Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

1. The challenge in this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is to the vires of amendment of Sections 49 and 92 of the Sikh Gurudwara Act, 2016.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that inadvertently complete particulars could not be incorporated in the present writ petition and prays that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file fresh one on the same cause of action by furnishing better particulars.
3. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE

December 03, 2016
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No