

**264 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C. W. P. No.25864 of 2015

Date of decision:25.02.2016

Ram Chander Dahiya

... Petitioner

VS.

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

* * *

To be referred to Reporters or not ?

Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

* * *

Present : Mr. B. S. Rathee, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Namit Kumar, Advocate
for the respondents.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL , J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5 to take a decision on the representation dated 10.05.2015 (Annexure P-22), submitted by the petitioner.

I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5 to take a decision on the representation dated 10.05.2015 (Annexure P-22), submitted by the petitioner, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

C. W. P. No.25864 of 2015 :2:

Needless to add the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

**(Deepak Sibal)
Judge**

February 25, 2016
rimpal