

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.24906 of 2016
Date of Decision: 02.12.2016

SATBIR SINGH AND ORSPetitioners
Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR**

Present: Mr. Namit Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.(Oral)

The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to execute necessary conveyance deed in respect of their respective flats in favour of the petitioners and also to provide incumbent possession to the petitioners.

2. It was not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioners that since no such representation raising the claim as sought to be raised in the present petition has been made to the respondents, therefore, he may be allowed to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to first approach the concerned authority.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn.

4. It shall, however, be open to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to them in accordance with law.

**[AJAY KUMAR MITTAL]
JUDGE**

December 02, 2016
rashmi

**[SNEH PRASHAR]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No