

CWP No. 2585 of 2015

Date of decision: 19.01.2016

M/s Bharti Airtel Ltd.

.....Petitioner

versus

Rakesh Dudeja and others

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Pabbi, Advocate
for the petitioner.**Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(Oral)**

On the last date of hearing, notice of motion was issued on the condition that the petitioner would deposit ₹ 25,000/- as security for costs. It is also ordered that the notice shall not be issued until the costs is deposited as directed. However, interim stay was granted by this Court.

Counsel for the petitioner has fairly submitted that the petitioner has not deposited the costs, therefore, he would not be in a position to argue the matter. The candid statement made by counsel for the petitioner is appreciated and in view of the fact that order dated 16.02.2015 passed by this Court has not been complied with, therefore, the present petition is hereby, dismissed.

[Rakesh Kumar Jain]
Judge**19th January, 2016**

Shivani Kaushik