

**Jaiveer**

**...Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**Haryana Urban Development Authority & others**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA**

Present:- Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

**RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)**

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioners have approached this Court, by way of present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that when the genuine grievance of the petitioners was not being redressed, they finally approached the respondent authorities by way of representation dated 22.02.2016 (Annexure P-8) but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that petitioners will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioners within a reasonable time by passing an appropriate order thereon.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, Chief Engineer, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Panchkula-respondent No.2 is directed to look into the matter, consider the grievance raised by the petitioners vide their representation dated 22.02.2016 (Annexure P-8) and

decide the same, keeping in view the judgment dated 04.05.2015 passed by this Court in CWP No.18758 of 1995 (Mahender Singh and others Vs. HUDA and another), at an early date by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, instant writ petition stands disposed of.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)**  
**JUDGE**

**02.12.2016**  
**neeraj**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No