

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

116

**CWP No. 24826 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.12.2016**

Kala Ram

.... Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

Present: Mr. Suvineet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has questioned the validity of the Award passed by the Labour court dated 23.08.2016.

The petitioner was appointed as a Driver on 15.04.2013. He worked up to 27.11.2013. Later on, once again he was appointed on 03.12.2013 for further few months. The Labour court has held that there is violation of Section 25(f) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. However, refused to grant relief in respect of reinstatement with continuity of service and back wages. Apparently, for the reasons that the petitioner has rendered short service with certain breaks, thus, the labour court has proceeded to held that petitioner is entitled to compensation of Rs.50,000/-.

Thus, there is no infirmity in the Award passed by the Labour Court dated 23.08.2016 so as to interfere with the same.

CWP stands dismissed.

02.12.2016

pooja saini

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasons

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No