

**225 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.26483 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : July 22, 2016

Asha Rani

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Bansal, AAG, Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-:-

-:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that all the benefits have been released to the petitioner. Therefore, the present petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of as having been become infructuous.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

July 22, 2016

sarita