

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.25583 of 2015

Date of decision: 28.3.2016

Punjab Mandi Board

... Petitioner

Versus

Surinder Singh & anr.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amarjit Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Amarjit Singh, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of 1st
respondent.

Heard counsel.

This petition has been filed challenging the order dated 22.6.2005 passed by the Authority under the Payment of Wages Act, 1936-cum-Assistant Labour Commissioner, Amritsar-III, whereby the application filed by the 1st respondent has been allowed and the petitioner has been directed to pay Rs.43,216/- towards the unpaid salary for the period of 1.6.2013 to 22.7.2013. Against the order passed by the Authority, an appeal lies under Section 17 of the Payment of Wages Act, 1936, before the District Court, which regular remedy has not been
availed.

As a result of availability of an alternative remedy, this petition is dismissed with liberty to approach the appropriate forum for the relief sought.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

28.3.2016
monika