

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 24562 of 2016
Date of Decision : 30.11.2016

Hardeep Singh Choudhary and others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioners are stated to be working as Extension Lecturers in Government College, Barwala, Hisar. The details of the period of their service as Extension Lecturers are set out in para No. 2 and their qualifications are mentioned in para No. 3 of the petition.

It is the case of the petitioners that they deserve to continue as Extension Lecturers till regular appointments are made by the respondents and they should not be relieved during summer/winter/examination period. The petitioners have sought further direction that they be granted ₹1000/- per period subject to a maximum of ₹25,000/- per month from the date of their initial appointment.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Rathee, learned Senior

Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioners has furnished four sets of the paper book to learned State counsel.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the cases of the petitioners are squarely covered by the judgment of a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of ***Ms. Menka and others vs. State of Haryana and others, CWP No. 9300 of 2015***, decided on 05.05.2016.

Learned State counsel contends that a coordinate Bench of this Court has issued directions pertaining to similarly situated persons while deciding ***CWP No. 16975 of 2014***, in the case of ***Mrs. Rita Tandon Vs. State of Haryana and others***, decided on 29.07.2016 while relying upon the judgment in the case of ***Ms. Menka and others Vs. State of Haryana and others(supra)***.

At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners would be satisfied if the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of each of the petitioners in the light of the judgments in the case of ***Ms. Menka and others Vs. State of Haryana and others (supra)*** and ***Mrs. Rita Tandon Vs. State of Haryana and other (supra)*** in a time bound manner.

Therefore, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to treat the writ petition as a representation of the petitioners and consider and decide the same by passing a speaking order, keeping in view the judgments in the case of ***Ms. Menka and others Vs. State of Haryana and others (supra)*** and ***Mrs. Rita Tandon Vs. State of***

Haryana and other (supra), within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 30, 2016.
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No