

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Date of decision:6.5.2016**

**1.  
CWP No.8230 of 2012**

Rachandeep Singh ... Petitioner  
Versus

Punjab School Education Board & ors. ... Respondents

**2.  
CWP No.15752 of 2011**

Davinder Singh & ors. ... Petitioners  
Versus

Punjab School Education Board & ors. ... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA**

Present: Mr. Aakash Juneja, Advocate, for  
Mr. DS Brar, Advocate,  
for the petitioners (in CWP No.15752 of 2011).

Mr. Harinder Sharma, Advocate,  
for the petitioner (in CWP No.8230 of 2012).

Mr. Ajay Aggarwal, Advocate, for  
Mr. Denesh Goyal, Advocate,  
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate,  
for respondent No.4(in CWP No.15752 of 2011).

Mr. GS Bal, Sr. Advocate, with  
Mr. ADS Bal, Advocate,  
for respondent No.1 ((in CWP No.8230 of 2012).  
for respondents No.2 to 16 (in CWP No.15752 of 2011)

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)**

1. This order will dispose of the above cited cases as common  
questions of law and fact are involved in them which can conveniently be

decided by a common order. Facts have been taken from CWP No.8230 of 2012 for convenience.

2. No bias or malafides have been alleged in the recruitment process of Packers against the Punjab School Education Board, Mohali or any of its functionaries by name. A total 15 vacancies of Packers were advertised by the Board out of which 10 were earmarked for the General Category. The petitioner is a General Category candidate who applied for the post. The post is the class IV post. The case of the petitioner is that he is a highly qualified person as he has obtained the degree of M.A. Political Science, B.Ed. coupled with a Diploma in Computer Applications. On the basis of his higher qualification, he deserves appointment on merit. The advertised qualification for the post of Packers was Matriculation certificate from a recognised institution. No preference was to be given in the advertisement to possession of higher qualifications. He is overqualified for the menial job of Packer. Therefore, the petitioner reels under wrong impression that for a Class IV post preference should be given to post graduate qualification. If the desire of the petitioner was to become a Packer to earn further promotions to Class III & II posts then I am afraid the argument is misconceived and is not sustainable to lay challenge to appointments asserting that he is superior in merit armed by higher qualifications. This court is at a loss to understand what has political science got to do with packing. This is a facet of the death knell of education in India today churning out degree holders without understanding the subject. The scenario is altogether sad. The petitioner is not looking for the job of

Packer but is to eye promotions to higher service which may not be a tall deal for packing bags.

3. While dealing with the recruitment process in hand and appointments made by selection the real concern of the parties and the Court is to examine on judicial review whether the selection is good or bad or is tainted by mala fides, corruption and nepotism which would justify intervention. For the foregoing reasons, the Packer is packed off to suit his MA degree in Political Science for a more suitable avocation.

4. Accordingly, I find no ground cogent reason to interfere in the matter and both the petitions hereby stand dismissed.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)**  
**JUDGE**

**6.5.2016**  
**monika**