

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.204

**CWP No.287 of 2013
Date of decision: 29.04.2016**

Neelam

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. S.S. Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

For want of eligibility, the petitioner's candidature for being considered for selection to the post of PGT (Sanskrit) was rejected. Such rejection has been challenged by her through the present petition.

On 10.01.2013, while issuing notice of motion by an interim measure, the petitioner was permitted to be provisionally interviewed, however, it was directed that the result shall not be declared without the leave of the Court.

On the last date of hearing, I had directed the learned State counsel to produce the result of the petitioner, in a sealed cover and in compliance with those directions, the same has been done.

The sealed cover was opened and perused, which shows that the petitioner has secured a total of 44.03 marks as against the 53.14 marks secured by the candidate last selected as PGT (Sanskrit) in the category to

which the petitioner belongs.

In view of the above facts, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

The result sheet be resealed and handed over back to the learned State counsel.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

April 29, 2016

Jyoti 1