

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.24471 of 2016
Date of decision: 28.11.2016

Shimla Devi

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. V.S. Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court, by way of present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari/mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, fairly states that he does not intend to press the present writ petition for a writ in the nature of certiorari, for the time being. He submits that although the petitioner is also seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus, yet she did not approach the competent authority by moving an appropriate representation or legal notice, before filing the present writ petition. He further submits that given an opportunity, petitioner shall approach the competent authority by moving an appropriate representation within a period of two weeks from today and the competent authority may be directed to consider and decide the same within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to the Social Welfare Officer, Panchkula-respondent No.2 that if the petitioner approaches him by moving an appropriate representation within a period of two weeks from today, making a request for referring the case of the petitioner to the doctors to assess her age, respondent No.2 shall consider the request made by the petitioner, by passing an appropriate order thereon at an early date, strictly in accordance with law but in any case within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of representation from the petitioner.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, instant writ petition stands disposed of.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

28.11.2016
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No