

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.26050 of 2014
Date of Decision:-15.07.2016

Kirpa Ram

..... Petitioner

Vs.

Chandigarh Administration and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: - Mr.S.M. Tripathi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Shekhar Verma, Advocate,
Standing counsel for Chandigarh Administration.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

This petition is filed to challenge the order passed by the Permanent Lok Adalat (PUS), Chandigarh dated 28.7.2014 by which an application filed by the petitioner under Section 22C of the Legal Services Authority Act, 1987 has been dismissed.

After notice, respondents No.1 & 2 has filed short reply of Prince Dhawan, Assistant Estate Officer in which following averments have been made in paras No.3 & 4: -

3. *That during the preparation of the reply to the main case, it has transpired that inadvertently, certain documents already on record could not be brought to the notice of the competent authority and this led to rejection of petitioner's claim.*

4. *That in view of the above, the answering respondents seek to re-examine the claim of the petitioner and pray for liberty to pass fresh order in terms of the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme-2006. The petitioner shall be given notice for personal hearing by the Screening Committee and his claim will be re-considered in accordance with law."*

In view of the stand taken by the respondents, the impugned order is hereby set aside. The respondents shall reconsider the matter of the petitioner as stated in para No.4 of the reply expeditiously.

Disposed of.

15.07.2016

Vivek

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE