

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 26040 of 2014
Date of Decision : 17.11.2016**

Satish Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Satbir Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Alankar Narula, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

Mr. R.P. Daaria, Advocate for respondent No. 5.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioner has challenged appointments of respondents No. 4 and 5 as Volunteers under IED Component, District Fatehabad. The petitioner has sought further direction to official respondents to appoint him as Volunteer under IED Component in place of respondents No. 4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the respondents-State states that as respondents No. 4 and 5 were engaged for a period of ten months for the academic session 2013-2014, which concluded on 28.02.2014, they were relieved on 28.02.2014. He has furnished a copy of order dated 28.02.2014 in this regard, which is taken on record. A copy of the same has also been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Therefore, as the engagement of the private respondents has come to an end, this petition would not require any adjudication at this stage.

Consequently, the petition is disposed of as infructuous.

November 17, 2016.
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether reportable?	Yes/No